

or

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

| | Bureau of Water 💿 1021 N. Grand Av | renue E. 🖲 F | P.O. B | ox 19276 💿 Springfiel | ld • Illinois • 62794-9276 |
|---------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| | ANNUAL F | | NSPE | lution Control | 8 |
| for N | PDES Permit for Storm Water | Discharge | es fro | om Separate Stor | m Sewer Systems (MS4) |
| | ble form may be completed online, a nce Assurance Section at the above | | | | |
| Report P | eriod: From March, <u>2017</u> | To March,2 | 2018 | | Permit No. ILR40 0412 |
| MS4 OP | PERATOR INFORMATION : (As it ap | pears on the | e curre | nt permit) | |
| Name: | CITY OF O'FALLON | | M | ailing Address 1: 255 | SOUTH LINCOLN AVENUE |
| Mailing A | Address 2: | | _ | | County: <u>St. Clair</u> |
| City: O' | FALLON | State: | IL | Zip: <u>62269</u> | Telephone: 618-624-4500 Ext 3 |
| | Person: JEFF TAYLOR (JONATHAN N sponsible for Annual Report) | IOLAN) | Ema | il Address: | |
| Name(s) | of governmental entity(ies) in which | n MS4 is loc | ated: | (As it appears on th | e current permit) |
| | DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTAT | ION | ST. C | LAIR COUNTY | |
| O'FALLC | DN TOWNSHIP | 4 | | | |
| THE FOL | LOWING ITEMS MUST BE ADDRES | SED. | | | |
| | ges to best management practices (che ding change(s) to BMP and measurable | | ate BN | P change(s) and atta | ch information |
| 1. P | Public Education and Outreach | 4 | . Con | struction Site Runoff (| Control |
| 2. F | Public Participation/Involvement | 5 | . Post | -Construction Runoff | Control |
| 3. II | licit Discharge Detection & Elimination | 6 | . Pollu | ution Prevention/Good | Housekeeping |
| manag | the status of compliance with permit o gement practices and progress towards and your identified measurable goals fo | achieving t | he stat | utory goal of reducing | g the discharge of pollutants to the |
| C. Attach | n results of information collected and an | nalyzed, incl | uding | monitoring data, if any | during the reporting period. |
| | n a summary of the storm water activitie mentation schedule.) | es you plan t | o unde | ertake during the next | reporting cycle (including an |
| | notice that you are relying on another | | | | |
| F. Attach | a list of construction projects that you | r entity has p | aid fo | r during the reporting | period. |
| Any pers commits | on who knowingly makes a false, fictitio a Class 4 felony. A second or subseque | us, or fraudu ent offense a | ilent m fter co | aterial statement, oral nviction is a Class 3 fe | ly or in writing, to the Illinois EPA Iony. (415 ILCS 5/44(h)) |
| 3 | Owner Signature: | | | (| t / / 4 / 18 Date: |
| | Jongthan Nolan | | | Fail | area Project Mener |
| - | Printed Name: | | | | Title: |
| EMAIL CO | MPLETED FORM TO: epa.ms4annual | insp@illinois | s.qov | | |
| or Mail to: 1 | LLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION WATER POLLUTION CONTROL COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE SECTION #1 1021 NORTH GRAND AVENUE EAST POST OFFICE BOX 19276 SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62794-9276 | N AGENCY 9 | | | |
| | This Agency is authorized to require this inform | nation under Sect | ion 4 and | Title X of the Environmental P | rotection Act (415 ILCS 5/4, 5/39). Failure to disclose thi |

Information may result in: a civil penalty of not to exceed \$50,000 for the violation and an additional civil penalty of not to exceed \$10,000 for each day during IL 532 2585 which the violation continues (415 ILCS 5/42) and may also prevent this form from being processed and could result in your application being denied. This form WPC 691 Rev 6/10 has been approved by the Forms Management Center.

ADMINISTRATIVE REVISIONS TO THE NOTICE OF INTENT

Revisions to the original Notice of Intent (NOI) are reflected below.

| MS4 Operator Mailing Address: | Yes | No X | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Persons Responsible: | Yes X | No | |
| Name: Jon Nolan | | | |
| Title: <u>Engineering Project M</u> | lanager | | |
| Telephone Number: (618) 6 | 24-4500 X 3 | | |
| Area of Responsibility: MS4 Pe | ermit Compliance | | |

Introduction

In 2003, St. Clair County (County), Illinois and its communities created a Co-Permittee Group to join forces in complying with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) for Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Phase II requirements. As stated in the original 2003 Notice of Intent (NOI), the County and the Co-Permittee communities were to pool resources and work together to comply with the commitments made within the NOI for the benefit of all within the County.

The Co-Permittee Group was active during this reporting period. Significant progress was made sharing Best Management Practices (BMPs) for document retention, operation procedures, and maintenance activities.

Best Management Practice (BMP) Summary of 2017-2018 Activities

In 2003, each member of the Co-Permittee Group submitted a NOI in compliance with the first 5-year cycle. In 2008, a NOI was submitted in compliance with the next 5-year cycle, as written in the first MS4 permit. The 2009 NOI was submitted in compliance with additional requirements in the second MS4 permit. In 2013, a new NOI was submitted for the next 5-year cycle and was in place starting in March, 2014. As stated in the 2003, 2008, 2009, and 2013 NOIs, each Co-Permittee Member identified certain activities to comply with the Phase II requirements. Below is an abbreviated summary of the BMPs that were written in the NOI for each of the minimum control measures.

March 2017-February 2018:

- 1) **A.1-** Stormwater brochures for businesses, homeowners, children, and green infrastructures were to be promoted and displayed by each community in a public place.
- 2) A.4- St. Clair County sponsored a booth at the County Fair and/or Earth Day and distributed the stormwater and green infrastructure brochures.
- 3) A.5- St. Clair County posted newsletters on the County Health Department website during school months. Co-Permittee Members distributed educational materials to schools in their communities. The amount of material distributed was to be tracked by the communities.
- 4) **B.3** The Co-Permittee Group met three (3) times to review upcoming permit requirements, notice of intent, review stormwater management program, operations training, and to develop and submit the Annual Report.
- 5) **B.5-** Co-Permittee Members solicited and encouraged public assistance in monitoring the community's storm water system. Public inquiries and complaints were responded to and recorded.
- 6) **B.6-** St. Clair County continued to promote programs related to stormwater activities and recycling programs. The community tracked its participation.

- 7) **C.1-** Co-Permittee Members updated any new or revised storm sewers and performed stream observations at bridge inspections.
- 8) C.5- A survey of previously installed stencils was to be performed as well as replacing or placing any that needed inlet stencils.
- 9) **C.6-** Communication brochures were distributed to the community. Co-Permittee Members discussed any known illicit discharge ordinance compliance issues in the communities.
- 10) **C.9-** Co-Permittee Members developed brochures addressing specific storm water ordinance prohibited activities and distributed with educational brochures.
- 11) **D.1, E.2, E.4-** Community stormwater ordinances were to be updated, if needed, and require a SWPPP on site plans disturbing more than one acre.
- 12) **D.2, F.1-** The Co-Permittee held an Operations Training class. Topics included a review of the Best Management Practices, Good Housekeeping, and a review of public awareness BMPs.
- 13) **D.5-** St. Clair County continued to maintain a stormwater hotline number to address public concerns related to stormwater issues. County tracked and reported the number of calls.
- 14) **F.6-** Communities reviewed operating procedures and BMPs and modified if necessary.

The following pages highlight changes made to the BMPs from the NOI, BMP status, and activities planned for the next reporting year. Additional information is also provided from the County and each Community.

It is to be noted that some BMPs will continue on to the next NOI, but some will be stopped and others added to fulfill the requirements of the permit. The 2014-2019 NOI can be found on the IEPA website.

City of O'Fallon FOIA Officer for the reporting year:

Name: <u>MaryAnne Fair</u>

Title: Deputy City Clerk

Telephone Number: (618) 624-4500 x 8715

| COMMUNITY NAME: | City of O'Fallon | PERMIT #: | | ILR400412 | | |
|---|--|---|-------------|---|--|--|
| | IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from MS | IS4 Communities- Period: March 2017 through February 2018 | | | | |
| Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs? | B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the | C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitodata. Information attached | oring d? | D. Summarize the stormwater activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule | | |
| Comment SU Q | minimum control measures. | If attached information, describe. | YES | Activity Schedule | | |
| | d Paper Materials- Informational Brochures | | | | | |
| Milestone For Reporting Ye | ear: Promote the availability of brochures to the resident | S. | | | | |
| x | The City has brochures available to residents at the City Hall and on the City website. Educational topics include stormwater ordinances as well as the public storm water hotline number. | | | The City updated its brochures September 7, 2016. St. Clair County has brochures available to all county residents in the St. Clair County Health Department. | | |
| BMP No. A.4- Community | / Event- Sponsor Annual Booth at St. Clair County Fa | air or Earth Day Festival | | | | |
| Milestone For Reporting Ye | ear: St. Clair County sponsored a booth at the county fai | r. | | | | |
| x | St. Clair County set up a booth and distributed stormwater materials at the Health Department Earth Day Celebration on April 21, 2017. One hundred (100) stormwater brochures were distributed. | | | X St. Clair County is responsible for the booth and tracking the number of brochures handed out. The 2018 Earth Day event will be in April. | | |
| BMP No. A.5- Classroom | Education Material | | | | | |
| Milestone For Reporting Ye | ear: Communities distributed educational materials and | tracked the number of broo | chur | es and other materials handed out to the schools. | | |
| x | St. Clair County posted educational newsletters on the Health Department's Website. The City of O'Fallon distributed educational brochures at the St. Clair County booth during the 2017 Earth Day event. | Review of Classroom Education Materials- See page 11 | x | The communities will inform local schools that the newsletters are available on the Health Department's Website. | | |

| COMMUNITY NAME: | City of O'Fallon | PERMIT #: | | ILR400412 | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| | IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from MS | S4 Communities- Period: March 2017 through February 2018 | | | | |
| A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs? | B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the | C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monito data. Information attached | and undertake with an implementation schedule nonitoring | | | |
| Comment O | minimum control measures. | If attached information, describe. | YES NO | Activity | Schedule | |
| | er's Meeting- Coordinate Meetings and Annual Repo | | | | | |
| Milestone For Reporting Y | ear: Co-Permittee Group met three (3) times to complete | training and to develop and | d sub | mit the Annual Report. | | |
| X | Co-Permittee Meetings were held on Feb. 28th, April 18th, and October 5th, 2017. Annual reports were provided to communities in May 2017 and submitted to IEPA before June 1st, 2017. Meeting topics included: Annual Reporting, Visual Sampling Training, Construction Inspection, and Operations Training. The City attended all meetings. | | x | The City will continue to meet with the Co-Permittee Group to share BMPs and training opportunities. The Co-Permittee Group has planned three compliance/training activities for 2018. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. | |
| | Monitoring- Solicit and Encourage Public Assistance | | | | ater Hotline | |
| Milestone For Reporting Y | ear: Community will work to involve more public assistan | ce in reporting stormwater i | issue | S | | |
| x | The County updated brochures and websites with the contact information for the reporting of stormwater issues. Any calls or emails will be recorded and addressed. | | x | The community will continue to respond to and record all public complaints of illicit discharge and/or dumping and storm water issues. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. | |
| | coordination- Participate in programs targeted at public | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: St. Clair County continued to promote programs relat | ed to stormwater activities. | Con | munities tracked participation. | | |
| X | County will continue to promote programs related to stormwater activities and recycling. Multiple media outlets will be used to communicate with municipalities. | Review of Community Events - See page 11 | x | County will continue to promote programs related to stormwater activities. Multiple media outlets will be used to communicate with municipalities. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. | |

| COMMUNITY NAME: | City of O'Fallon | PERMIT #: | | | ILR400412 | |
|---|--|--|-------------|--|--|---|
| | IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from MS | IS4 Communities- Period: March 2017 through February 2018 | | | | |
| any changes to the BMPs? | B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the | C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monit data. Information attache | torin d? | | D. Summarize the stormwater act undertake with an implementation | • • |
| A. Changes to Best Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs? B Comment Image: Comment Image: Comment BMP No. B.7- Other Public Milestone for Reporting Year Milestone for Reporting Year X X X X X X Milestone for Reporting Year X X X X X X X X X X | minimum control measures. | If attached information, describe. | YES | Q | Activity | Schedule |
| | lic Involvement - the community will provide a public | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: The communities will provide a public meeting annua | Illy for public input for the N | MS4 | 1 pro | ogram. | |
| x | The community held a public input meeting regarding the adequacy of the MS4 Program on January 22, 2018. Committee and attendees were presented information from the annual report and sampling that has been completed. No input was received. | Review of Other Public Involvement - X See page 11 | | Community will continue to hold a public meeting to solicit public input regarding the adequacy of the MS4 program. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. | |
| | | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Co-Permittee member communities reviewed outfall | maps and conducted stre | am | obs | servations annually at bridge inspec | tions. |
| x | Co-Permittee communities reviewed their outfall maps for completeness and updated them if necessary. O'Fallon currently has 99% of outfall locations and the municipal storm sewer system mapped. The storm sewer system map was updated in February 2018. | | | x | Communities will continue to update their storm system maps to include modifications to the system. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |
| BMPs No. C.2, C.9- Regu | ulatory Control Program- Ordinance language for Illic | it discharge/public notifi | cati | ion | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Communication brochures were distributed to the cor | nmunity. | | | | |
| x | St. Clair County distributed ordinance brochures at the Earth Day event and has them available at the City Hall. The City updated storm water ordinances in 2005. | | | x | This BMP will not continue into the next NOI. | |
| | | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Survey condition of inlet stencils. | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| x | O'Fallon assessed the condition of the stencils. Currently 80% of the inlets are marked. The City plans to continue assessing and stenciling the remaining inlets. The Co-Permittee group is collaborating on an order for storm drain decals for 2018. | Review of Illicit Source Removal Procedures - See page 11 | x | | Communities will survey stencils previously installed, replace ones that need to be replaced, and assure all new inlets are installed with stencils. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |

| COMMUNITY NAME: | City of O'Fallon | PERMIT #: | | ILR400412 | | |
|----------------------------|--|---|-------|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from M | ges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2017 through February 2018 the wards to the choice of the data analyzed, including monitoring data. Information attached? D. Summarize the stormwater activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule If attached information, describe. D. Summarize the stormwater activities you plan to undertake with an implementation schedule Imination in the Community's stormwater system. Communities will continue to perform stream observations and address illicit discharge per the community ordinance. On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. ure. x Ordinance brochures will be updated and distributed to the community throughout years 2015-2019 Brochure to be updated in needed in 2018-2019 permit year. w Procedures x This BMP will not continue into the next NOI. Don spine than one acre of land inside the Community. | | | | |
| | B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the | information collected and analyzed, including monitori | | | | |
| Comment S | minimum control measures. | If attached information, $\begin{tabular}{c} & & \\ & $ | | Activity | Schedule | |
| | valuation and Assessment | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Perform illicit discharge detection and elimination in | the Community's stormwater | r sys | stem. | | |
| x | Communities will perform stream observations during their annual bridge inspections and take appropriate action if any illicit discharge is found. | | x | perform stream observations and address illicit discharge per the | 2018-2019 permit | |
| BMP No. C.9- Public Not | | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Community will update ordinance brochure. | | | | | |
| x | Brochures will be updated to address specific stormwater ordinance prohibited acivities and distributed with brochures addressed in BMP A1. | | x | updated and distributed to the community throughout years | updated if needed in 2018-2019 | |
| BMPs No. D.1, E.2, and E | E.4- Site Plan and Pre-Construction Review Procedu | res | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Update stormwater ordinance. | | | | | |
| x | The stormwater ordinance was updated in 2005. | | x | | | |
| BMP No. D.1- Regulator | | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Require SWPPP on all site plans disturbing more the | an one acre of land inside the | Co | mmunity. | | |
| X | The community will require SWPPP on sites disturbing over 1 acre and enforce ordinance provisions. | | x | require SWPPP on sites disturbing over 1 acre and verify the proper use of sediment and | 2018-2019 permit | |

| COMMUNITY NAME: | PERMIT #: | | ILR400412 | | |
|--|--|--|-----------|--|---|
| | IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from M | S4 Communities- Period: Mar | ch 2 | 2017 through February 2018 | |
| Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs? | B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the | C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monitorir data. Information attached? | ng | D. Summarize the stormwater act undertake with an implementation | |
| Comment S | minimum control measures. | If attached information, describe. ∽ | NO | Activity | Schedule |
| | nd Sediment Control BMPs | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Community will participate in BMP training during An | nual Operations Training. | | | |
| x | The community participated in BMP training during the Annual Operations Training on October 5, 2017. | | x | Community will continue to participate in BMP training. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |
| BMP No. D.5- Stormwate | er Hotline | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye reported the number of ca | ear: County continued to maintain a stormwater hotline nu lls. | umber to address public conce | erns | related to stormwater issues. Cou | nty tracked and |
| x | St. Clair County did not receive any hotline calls during the reporting period. Communities respond to complaints of residents for stormwater related issues. | | x | County and Communities will respond to calls and emails for stormwater issues. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |
| | raining for Construction Site Inspectors | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Inspector training was provided this year. | | | | |
| x | | | x | The last Construction Site Inpection training took place in April 2017. This BMP will not continue into the next NOI. | |
| BMP No. E.2- Regulatory | v Control Program | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ear: Enforce Stormwater Ordinance. | r | | | |
| x | Communities will continue to enforce their stormwater ordinance and track changes made to the ordinance. | | x | Communities will continue to enforce their stormwater ordinance. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |

| COMMUNITY NAME: | City of O'Fallon | PERMIT #: | | ILR400412 | |
|---|---|---|-------|--|---|
| | IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from MS | 34 Communities- Period: Ma | larch | 1 2017 through February 2018 | |
| Management- Were there any changes to the BMPs? | B. The status of compliance with the permit, the appropriateness of the BMP and progress towards achieving reduction of discharged pollutants to the MEP, and identified measurable goals for each of the | C. Provide results of information collected and analyzed, including monito data. Information attached? | | D. Summarize the stormwater actiundertake with an implementation | |
| Comment SU Q | minimum control measures. | If attached information, describe. | YES | Activity | Schedule |
| BMP No. E.4- Pre-Constru | uction Review of BMP Designs | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ar: Review post construction BMPs. | | | | |
| x | The community will require and review SWPPPs on site plans disturbing more than one (1) acre of land. | | 2 | Communities will review the post construction BMPs on all sites that disturb more than one acre in land. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |
| BMP No. F.1- Employee T | | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ar: The Co-Permittee held an Operations Training class. | | | | |
| x | Training focused on a review of the Best Management Practices, Good Housekeeping, and the Storm Water Management Plan. The City attended operations training. Green infrastructure ideas and practices were discussed at other Co-Permittee meetings and in monthly newsletters distributed to community representatives. | | 2 | X The Co-Permittee Group will continue holding an Operations Training class as part of education requirements. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |
| | cipal Operations Controls- Standard Operating Proce | | | | |
| Milestone for Reporting Ye | ar: Communities reviewed operating procedures and BN | /IPs and modified if necessa | ary. | | |
| x | Stormwater operation procedures for the street department were reviewed. | | ; | X Operation procedures are reviewed annually. Co-Permittee meetings will include reference to review and update requirements. | On-going through 2018-2019 permit year. |

COMMUNITY NAME: City of O'Fallon

PERMIT #: ILR400412

IEPA Annual Report for Stormwater Discharges from MS4 Communities- Period: March 2017 through February 2018

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

| BMP A.5 | Classroom Educational Materials |
|---------|---|
| | The County has taken steps to educate school children on the severity of stormwater pollution. The St. Clair County Health Department issues a newsletter each month and it is posted on the St. Clair County Health Department's website. The newsletter consists of articles for students with a wide range of pollution topics, including stormwater. The newsletter also lists upcoming recycling events and schools that have won past recycling contests. |
| BMP B.6 | Community Events - Recycling Programs |
| | Throughout the year, St. Clair County sponsored community events that potentially could positively impact stormwater quality. These activities include telephone book recycling and an ongoing "Clean Sweep" program. Telephone book recycling was sponsored by Illinois American Water. The county website also has a brochure listing recycling sites for over 29 different materials. The City of O'Fallon contracts with a waste vendor, Waste Management, to provide year-round recycling, yard waste, and bulk pickup for its community members. Additionally, the City provides a medicine and drug recycling container located at O'Fallon Public Safety Building. |
| BMP B.7 | Other Public Involvement |
| | The City of O'Fallon held a public meeting to provide for public input regarding the adequacy of the MS4 program on January 22, 2018. The committee and public attendees were resented information from the annual repot and storm water sampling that has been completed. No public input was received at that time. The monthly Public Works committee meeting regularly covers storm water topics and is open to citizens for comment. Additionally, the public is encouraged to assist in monitoring the community's storm water system by reporting illegal dumping and discharge or storm water issues either directly to the City or through the County. |
| BMP C.5 | Illicit Source Removal Procedures |
| | The St. Clair County Highway Department sponsors an Adopt-a-Highway Program throughout the County. By sponsoring this program, St. Clair County is eliminating a significant source of stormwater pollution by keeping trash out of streams and keeping road ditches clear of debris for storm events. |

ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY ACTIVITIES

(Make additional copies of form, as necessary)

Community Name: City of O'Fallon

ILR400412 Permit #:

List any additional community-sponsored activities performed between March 2017 and February 2018 not listed in Notice of Intent (NOI) submittal, but which addresses one of the six minimum control measures:

The City has a municipality website and posts educational brochures, annual reports, the NOI, and the storm water hotline number.

The City of O'Fallon swept 557 miles of streets during the reporting year.

The City participates in a year-round recycling program through Waste Management and seasonally collects Christmas trees.

Two 25-cubic-yard dumpsters were used by the Street Department for trash pulled from road ditches and waterways. The dumpsters were emptied weekly.

The City is a member of the Gateway Chapter of the Illinois APWA and attends bi-monthly meetings.

In 2017, 211 trees were planted along Green Mount Boulevard, and in O'Fallon Cemetery and Rock Springs Park.

The City graded and cleaned 2.3 miles of ditches along various City streets utilizing straw mats and riprap as BMPs.

Circle which minimum control measure addressed:



(1.) Public Education and Outreach



4. Construction Site Runoff Control

2 Public Participation/Involvement

- (3) Illicit Discharge Detection & Elimination
- 5. Post-Construction Runoff Control



(6.) Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping

C. Information Collected and Analyzed during 2017-2018 Reporting Year

The NPDES permit effective March 1, 2016, requires MS4 permittees serving populations over 25,000 persons to conduct quarterly laboratory testing of storm water discharge. St. Clair County, the City of O'Fallon, O'Fallon Township, Fairview Heights, and Caseyville Township banded together to share sampling costs and data. The partnership began storm water sampling during the first quarter of 2017. The samples were taken to a local accredited laboratory and tested for Fecal Coliform, Oil & Grease, Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorous, Total Suspended Solids, and Chlorides. The laboratory returned a reporting package that contains laboratory results and chain of custody forms in addition to standard report contents.

The partnership identified two locations for sampling each quarter within 48 hours of a ¹/₄ inch or greater rainfall event in a 24-hour period. If a sample cannot be taken during the quarter, an explanation will be provided. The storm water monitoring program will help evaluate the effectiveness of BMPs implemented to reduce pollutant loadings and water quality impacts. When trends in the data are identified, BMPs can be adjusted accordingly.

The laboratory reporting forms and the information collected are attached. Sampling outfall locations for the upcoming reporting year will be:

- Ogles Creek at Old Collinsville Rd (northeast side of creek) ID Upstream Approximate coordinates 89° 57' 58.19" W 38° 35' 49.50" N
- Ogles Creek at Scott Troy Rd (northeast side of creek) ID Downstream Approximate coordinates 89° 52' 28.29" W 38° 38' 59.50" N

E. Reliance on Government Entities for Permit Obligations

Co-Permittee cooperation with County

F. List of Construction Projects during 2017-2018 Reporting Year

City of O'Fallon had the following public construction projects during the reporting year:

ILR10 - X745

- Disturbed 11.0 acres
- Green Mount Road Reconstruction (12/05/16-11/04/17)

ILR10 – Y283

- Disturbed 4.3 acres
- Milburn School Road Phase 3 Reconstruction (5/01/17-10/20/17)

ILR10-Y828

- Disturbed 1.7 acres
- Porter Road Reconstruction (8/01/17-10/20/17)





March 09, 2017

Jennifer Gerwitz RJN Group 2000 South 8th St. St. Louis, MO 63104 TEL: (314) 588-9764 FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 17030016

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 3/1/2017 9:58:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Marin J. Darling I

Marvin L. Darling Project Manager (618)344-1004 ex 41 mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17030016 Report Date: 09-Mar-17

This reporting package includes the following:

| Cover Letter | 1 |
|----------------------|----------|
| Report Contents | 2 |
| Definitions | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Laboratory Results | 5 |
| Receiving Check List | 7 |
| Chain of Custody | Appended |



Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17030016

Report Date: 09-Mar-17

Abbr Definition

- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
- DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
 - PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
 - RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
 - Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
 - TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

- Unknown hydrocarbon

Qualifiers

B - Analyte detected in associated Method Blank

- E Value above quantitation range
- I Associated internal standard was outside method criteria
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

M - Manual Integration used to determine area response

H - Holding times exceeded

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- T TIC(Tentatively identified compound)



Case Narrative

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Cooler Receipt Temp: 5.62 °C

Work Order: 17030016 Report Date: 09-Mar-17

| | | L | ocations and | Accre | ditations | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | Collinsville | Springfield | | Kansas | City | | Collinsville Air |
| Address | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | 3920 Pintail Dr | | 8421 Nie | man Road | | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road |
| | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 | Springfield, IL 62 | 711-9415 | Lenexa, | KS 66214 | | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 |
| Phone | (618) 344-1004 | (217) 698-1004 | | (913) 54 | 1-1998 | | (618) 344-1004 |
| Fax | (618) 344-1005 | (217) 698-1005 | | (913) 54 | 1-1998 | | (618) 344-1005 |
| Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | KKlostermann@t | eklabinc.com | Ryoungs | trom@teklabir | nc.com | EHurley@teklabinc.com |
| - | State | Dept | Cert # | ł | NELAP | Exp Dat | e Lab |
| | Illinois | IEPA | 100226 | | NELAP | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| | Kansas | KDHE | E-10374 | | NELAP | 4/30/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Louisiana | LDEQ | 166493 | | NELAP | 6/30/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Louisiana | LDEQ | 166578 | | NELAP | 6/30/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Texas | TCEQ | T104704515- | -12-1 | NELAP | 7/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Arkansas | ADEQ | 88-0966 | | | 3/14/2018 | Collinsville |
| | Illinois | IDPH | 17584 | | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Kentucky | KDEP | 98006 | | | 12/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Kentucky | UST | 0073 | | | 1/31/2018 | B Collinsville |
| | Missouri | MDNR | 00930 | | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| | Missouri | MDNR | 930 | | | 1/31/2018 | B Collinsville |
| | Oklahoma | ODEQ | 9978 | | | 8/31/2017 | Collinsville |



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 17030016 Report Date: 09-Mar-17

Client: RJN Group Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Lab ID: 17030016-001

Client Sample ID: Upstream

| | | | Collectio | n Date: 03/0 |)1/2017 | 8:36 | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| ertification | RL | Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
| 9222 D MEMBRANE | FILTER | | | | | | |
| | 100 | | 1100 | CFU/100ml | 100 | 03/01/2017 11:24 | R229867 |
| | | | | | | | |
| NELAP | 6 | | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 03/03/2017 11:20 | R229981 |
| | in the second | | | | | | |
| | 0.05 | | 0.78 | mg/L | 1 | 03/08/2017 0:00 | R230126 |
| | ACCURATE OF | | | | | | |
| NELAP | 0.050 | | 0.108 | mg/L | 1 | 03/07/2017 11:06 | 127838 |
| | | | | | | | |
| NELAP | 6 | | 10 | mg/L | 1 | 03/02/2017 11:02 | R229877 |
| (TOTAL) | | | | | | | |
| NELAP | 50 | | 99 | mg/L | 10 | 03/03/2017 14:19 | R229986 |
| | NELAP NELAP NELAP E (TOTAL) | 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER 100 NELAP 6 NELAP 0.055 NELAP 6 E (TOTAL) | 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER 100 NELAP 6 0.05 NELAP 0.050 NELAP 6 E (TOTAL) | ertification RL Qual Result 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER 100 1100 100 100 1100 NELAP 6 < 6 | ertificationRLQualResultUnits9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER 1001100CFU/100mlNELAP6<6 | ertification RL Qual Result Units DF 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER 100 1100 CFU/100ml 100 NELAP 6 < 6 | 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER 100 1100 CFU/100ml 100 03/01/2017 11:24 NELAP 6 < 6 |



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 17030016

Report Date: 09-Mar-17

Lab ID: 17030016-002

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Client Sample ID: Downstream Collection Date: 03/01/2017 9:29

| Matrix. AQUEUUS | | | Concerto | n Date: 05/0 | 51/2017 | 5125 | |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|----------|--------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| Analyses | Certification | RL Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | ED. 9222 D MEMBR | ANE FILTER | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 100 | 3800 | CFU/100ml | 100 | 03/01/2017 11:28 | R229867 |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 6 | 11 | mg/L | 1 | 03/03/2017 11:20 | R229981 |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R | 2.0 | and the second sec | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | 6.07 | mg/L | 1 | 03/08/2017 0:00 | R230126 |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | 13 12 22 | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.250 | 0.735 | mg/L | 1 | 03/07/2017 11:21 | 127838 |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 10 | 217 | mg/L | 1.72 | 03/02/2017 16:32 | R229877 |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500 | -CL E (TOTAL) | and the second | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 50 | 133 | mg/L | 10 | 03/03/2017 14:27 | R229986 |



Receiving Check List

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17030016 Report Date: 09-Mar-17

| Carrier: Kevin Madden | | Received By: AM | ID | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Completed by: On: 01-Mar-17 Amber M. Dilallo | l | Reviewed by: On: 01-Mar-17 | Elizabeth A. Hurley | thirlag | | | | | | |
| Pages to follow: Chain of custody 1 | Extra pages in | cluded 0 |] | | | | | | | |
| Shipping container/cooler in good condition? | Yes 🗸 | No 🗌 | Not Present | Temp °C 5.62 | | | | | | |
| Type of thermal preservation? | None | Ice 🔽 | Blue Ice | Dry Ice | | | | | | |
| Chain of custody present? | No 🗌 | | | | | | | | | |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? | No 🗌 | | | | | | | | | |
| Samples in proper container/bottle? | No 🗌 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample containers intact? | No 🗌 | | | | | | | | | |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | | | | | | | |
| All samples received within holding time? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | | | | | | | |
| Reported field parameters measured: | Field | | NA | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? | | | | | | | | | | |
| When thermal preservation is required, samples are compliant with a temperature between 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the same day as collected. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? | Yes | No 🗌 | No VOA vials | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? | Yes | No 🗌 | No TOX containers | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | NA | | | | | | | |
| NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field? | Yes 🗌 | No 🗌 | NA | \checkmark | | | | | | |
| Any No responses must be detailed below or on the COC. | | | | | | | | | | |

____ Work order # 17030010 of pg. CHAIN OF CUSTODY

TEKLAB, INC. 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road - Collinsville, IL 62234 - Phone: (618) 344-1004 - Fax: (618) 344-1005

| samples on: 🗹 ce 🔤 BLUEICE 🔤 NOICE 🔶 LOP-°C | I LAB M FIELD FOR LAB USE ONLY | Or | <u>o</u> letti | nts , /28/17 , 35 inch | 4 | | | INDICATE ANALYSIS REQUESTED | 0 | otal Phos II and ecal | TSS Nitrog phoru d Grez Colifo loride | s Ise | | | | | | | Received By Date/Time | Or Ocha 3/1/17 958 | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| Samples on: | South BTH ST. Preserved in: LAB | | Phone: (314) 588-9764 | Fax: Client Comments | surcharge will apply 🗌 Yes 🕅 No | lo sted analysis?. If yes, please provide | | Sample Collector's Name MATRIX | Levin Madden | # and Type of Containers | H2S UN | 04 IP | 128:36 2 2 2 X X | 79:29 2 2 X X | | | | | Date/Time | 3111179:50 Onico 1 | |
| RJN Group | Street 2000 | City / State / Zin St. Louis, MO 63402 63104 | ir Gerwitz | E-Mail: jgerwitz@rjnmail.com | re these samples known to be involved in litigation? If yes, a surcharge will apply | re these samples known to be hazardous? Yes X No re there any required reporting limits to be met on the requeste | mits in the comment section. 🛛 Yes 🕅 No | Project Name/Number | IPDES/ 15-3069 | SI | X Standard 1 - 2 Day (100% Surcharge) | Lab Use Only Sample Identification | 10300105 Upstream 2/1/12 | Downstream 2/1/1 | | | | | Relinquisbed By | J - Illert (| |



May 26, 2017

Jennifer Gerwitz RJN Group 2000 South 8th St. St. Louis, MO 63104 TEL: (314) 588-9764 FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 17051336

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 5/22/2017 10:45:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Marin J. Darling I

Marvin L. Darling Project Manager (618)344-1004 ex 41 mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17051336 Report Date: 26-May-17

This reporting package includes the following:

| Cover Letter | 1 |
|----------------------|----------|
| Report Contents | 2 |
| Definitions | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Laboratory Results | 5 |
| Receiving Check List | 7 |
| Chain of Custody | Appended |



Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17051336

Report Date: 26-May-17

Abbr Definition

- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
 - DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
 - PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
 - RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
 - Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
 - TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- # Unknown hydrocarbon
- E Value above quantitation range
- I Associated internal standard was outside method criteria
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
- H Holding times exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- T TIC(Tentatively identified compound)



Case Narrative

http://www.teklabinc.com/

 Work Order:
 17051336

 Report Date:
 26-May-17

Client: RJN Group Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Cooler Receipt Temp: 15.82 °C

| | Locations and | | | Accreantations | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Collinsville | Springfield | ŀ | Kansas City | Col | llinsville Air | | | | |
| Address | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | 3920 Pintail | Dr 8 | 421 Nieman Road | 544 | 5 Horseshoe Lake Road | | | | |
| | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 | Springfield, I | Springfield, IL 62711-9415 | | Col | linsville, IL 62234-7425 | | | | |
| Phone | (618) 344-1004 | (217) 698-1004 | | 913) 541-1998 | (613 | 8) 344-1004 | | | | |
| Fax | (618) 344-1005 | (217) 698-1005 | | 913) 541-1998 | (613 | 8) 344-1005 | | | | |
| Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | KKlosterman | n@teklabinc.com jl | hriley@teklabinc.com | EH | urley@teklabinc.com | | | | |
| | State | Dept | Cert # | NELAP | Exp Date | Lab | | | | |
| | Illinois | IEPA | 100226 | NELAP | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Kansas | KDHE | E-10374 | NELAP | 4/30/2018 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Louisiana | LDEQ | 166493 | NELAP | 6/30/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Louisiana | LDEQ | 166578 | NELAP | 6/30/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Texas | TCEQ T104704515- | | -1 NELAP | 7/31/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Arkansas | ADEQ | 88-0966 | | 3/14/2018 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Illinois | IDPH | 17584 | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Indiana | ISDH | C-IL-06 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Kentucky | KDEP | 98006 | | 12/31/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Kentucky | UST | 0073 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Missouri | MDNR | 00930 | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Missouri | MDNR | 930 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | Oklahoma | ODEQ | 9978 | | 8/31/2017 | Collinsville | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Locations and Accreditations



Client: RJN Group

Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 17051336

Report Date: 26-May-17

Lab ID: 17051336-001

Client Sample ID: Upstream

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Collection Date: 05/22/2017 9:53

| Analyses | Certification | RL Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|--------|-----------|-----|------------------|---------|
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | ED. 9222 D MEMBR | ANE FILTER | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 100 | 300 | CFU/100ml | 100 | 05/22/2017 15:38 | R233140 |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 7 | < 7 | mg/L | 1 | 05/23/2017 15:15 | R233174 |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R | 2.0 | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | 0.77 | mg/L | 1 | 05/23/2017 0:00 | R233132 |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.050 | 0.059 | mg/L | 1 | 05/23/2017 12:04 | 130489 |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 6 | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 05/24/2017 13:43 | R233194 |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500 | -CL E (TOTAL) | | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 25 | 158 | mg/L | 5 | 05/25/2017 22:58 | R233303 |



Client: RJN Group

Lab ID: 17051336-002

Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

| Work Order: | 17051336 |
|--------------|----------|
| work or ucr. | 1/031330 |

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 26-May-17 Client Sample ID: Downstream

| Matrix: AQUEOUS | Collection Date: 05/22/2017 10:20 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------|--------|-----------|-----|------------------|---------|
| Analyses | Certification | RL Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | ED. 9222 D MEMBR | ANE FILTER | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 100 | 600 | CFU/100ml | 100 | 05/22/2017 15:40 | R233140 |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 6 | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 05/23/2017 15:16 | R233174 |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2 | 2.0 | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | 3.20 | mg/L | 1 | 05/23/2017 0:00 | R233132 |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.050 | 0.187 | mg/L | 1 | 05/23/2017 12:09 | 130489 |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 6 | 16 | mg/L | 1 | 05/24/2017 13:43 | R233194 |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500- | CL E (TOTAL) | | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 25 | 59 | mg/L | 5 | 05/25/2017 23:00 | R233303 |



Receiving Check List

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

 Work Order:
 17051336

 Report Date:
 26-May-17

| Carrier: Employee | | Received By: AN | 1D | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| On: 22-May-17 | 1 | Reviewed by: On: 22-May-17 | Marin L. | Darling II |
| Amber M. Dilallo | | | Marvin L. Darling | |
| Pages to follow: Chain of custody 1 | Extra pages in | cluded 0 |] | |
| Shipping container/cooler in good condition? | Yes 🗸 | No | Not Present | Temp °C 15.82 |
| Type of thermal preservation? | None | | Blue Ice | _ · _ |
| Chain of custody present? | Yes 🔽 | | Dide lee | |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? | Yes 🔽 | | | |
| Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Samples in proper container/bottle? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Sample containers intact? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| All samples received within holding time? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Reported field parameters measured: | Field | Lab 🗌 | NA | |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| When thermal preservation is required, samples are complia 0.1° C - 6.0° C, or when samples are received on ice the sam | | | | |
| Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? | Yes | No | No VOA vials | \checkmark |
| Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? | Yes | No 🗌 | No TOX containers | \checkmark |
| Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | NA | |
| NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field? | Yes | No 🗌 | NA | |
| Any No responses | must be detaile | ed below or on th | ie COC. | |

| · | TEKLAB, INC. 544 | l5 Horseshoe Lak | C (e Road | HAIN O - Collinsv | CHAIN OF CUSTODY pg. of Work order # 1 TEKLAB, INC. 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road - Collinsville, IL 62234 - Phone: (618) 344-1004 - Fax: (618) 344-1005 | pg of le: (618) 344-100 | Work o 4 - Fax: (618) | Work order # ∏\\S\ <u>i</u> 330 x: (618) 344-1005 | 51330 |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--------|
| Client: | RJN Group | | | | Samples on: 🔊 ICE | | ICE 15.XX | ç | |
| Address: | 2000 South 8th St. | | | | Preserved in: 🔟 LAB | | FOR LAB USE ONLY | SE ONLY | |
| Citv / State / Zip | / Zip St. Louis, MO 63104 | 04 | | | Lab Notes: | Q. | | | |
| Contact: | Jennifer Gerwitz | Phone: | | (314) 588-9764 | | | | | |
| E-Mail: | jgerwitz@rjnmail.com | Fax: | | | Client Comments | | | | - - |
| Are these sample: | Are these samples known to be involved in litigation? If yes, a surcharge will apply | gation? If yes, a surcharge | will apply | 🗌 Yes 🕱 No | ° 5/20 | 1.21 in | | | |
| Are these sample: Are there any requimits in the comm | Are these samples known to be hazardous? U Yes 🗶 No Are there any required reporting limits to be met on the requested analysis?. If yes, please provide limits in the comment section. 🛛 Yes 📡 No | □ Yes X No net on the requested analys No | is?. If yes, pl | ease provide | | | | | |
| Proj | Project Name/Number | Sample | Sample Collector's Name | 's Name | MATRIX | INDICAT | INDICATE ANALYSIS REQUESTED | EQUESTED | |
| -51 / sadan | - 3069 | SANSIN | CSAN ' | VA-55RAP | | | | | |
| S | | Billing Instructions | # and Typ | Type of Containers | Aq | Phc Dil ar Feca | | | |
| ard | 1-2 Day (100% Surcharge) | | | | ueo | Nitro Nospho Nd Gr I Coll | TSS | | |
| Other | 🗌 3 Day (50% Surcharge) | | 2SO JNP | | | orus reas lforn | | | |
| Lab Use Only | Sample Identification | Date/Time Sampled | | | | e | | | |
| LIDSISS6. | Upstream | 05/21/17 9:53 AM | 22 | | × | × × × | × | | |
| 3 | Downstream | 05/22/17 10.2014 | 2 2 | | × | × × × × | × | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Relinquished Bv | | Date/Time | | | Received By | | Date/Time | |
| N D | | Ar/2 | 1-2-1 | | | | | | Ĺ, |
| al a r | - Afer | | 117 10 | 10.44 Her | The and | and l | 2 | | ç |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| The individual sigr agreement, and th | ing this agreement on behal hat he/she has the authority t | ulf of the client, acknowledg to sign on behalf of the clie | les that he/sh nt. See www. | ie has read and .teklabinc.com f | The individual signing this agreement on behalf of the client, acknowledges that he/she has read and understands the terms and conditions of this agreement, and that he/she has the authority to sign on behalf of the client. See www.teklabinc.com for terms and conditions. | ditions of this | BottleOrder: | 36552 | E. |

·

·

Ellar

·



July 21, 2017

Jennifer Gerwitz RJN Group 2000 South 8th St. St. Louis, MO 63104 TEL: (314) 588-9764 FAX:



RE: NPDES/15-3069

WorkOrder: 17070879

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 7/17/2017 12:18:00 PM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Marin J. Darling I

Marvin L. Darling Project Manager (618)344-1004 ex 41 mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17070879 Report Date: 21-Jul-17

This reporting package includes the following:

| Cover Letter | 1 |
|----------------------|----------|
| Report Contents | 2 |
| Definitions | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Accreditations | 5 |
| Laboratory Results | 6 |
| Receiving Check List | 8 |
| Chain of Custody | Appended |



Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17070879

Report Date: 21-Jul-17

Abbr Definition

- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
 - DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
 - PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
 - RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
 - Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
 - TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- # Unknown hydrocarbon
- E Value above quantitation range
- I Associated internal standard was outside method criteria
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
- H Holding times exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- T TIC(Tentatively identified compound)



Case Narrative

http://www.teklabinc.com/

 Work Order:
 17070879

 Report Date:
 21-Jul-17

Client: RJN Group Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Cooler Receipt Temp: 4.22 °C

| | | | Locations | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Collinsville | | Springfield | Kansas City | | | |
| Address | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | Address | 3920 Pintail Dr | Address | 8421 Nieman Road | | |
| | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 | | Springfield, IL 62711-9415 | | Lenexa, KS 66214 | | |
| Phone | (618) 344-1004 | Phone | (217) 698-1004 | Phone | (913) 541-1998 | | |
| Fax | (618) 344-1005 | Fax | (217) 698-1005 | Fax | (913) 541-1998 | | |
| Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | Email | KKlostermann@teklabinc.com | Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | | |
| | Collinsville Air | | Chicago | | | | |
| Address | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | Address | 1319 Butterfield Rd. | | | | |
| | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 | | Downers Grove, IL 60515 | | | | |
| Phone | (618) 344-1004 | Phone | (630) 324-6855 | | | | |
| Fax | (618) 344-1005 | Fax | | | | | |
| Email | EHurley@teklabinc.com | Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | | | | |



Accreditations

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 17070879 Report Date: 21-Jul-17

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

| State | Dept | Cert # | NELAP | Exp Date | Lab |
|-----------|------|-----------------|-------|------------|--------------|
| Illinois | IEPA | 100226 | NELAP | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Kansas | KDHE | E-10374 | NELAP | 4/30/2018 | Collinsville |
| Louisiana | LDEQ | 166493 | NELAP | 6/30/2018 | Collinsville |
| Louisiana | LDEQ | 166578 | NELAP | 6/30/2018 | Collinsville |
| Texas | TCEQ | T104704515-12-1 | NELAP | 7/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Arkansas | ADEQ | 88-0966 | | 3/14/2018 | Collinsville |
| Illinois | IDPH | 17584 | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Indiana | ISDH | C-IL-06 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Kentucky | KDEP | 98006 | | 12/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Kentucky | UST | 0073 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Louisiana | LDPH | LA170027 | | 12/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Missouri | MDNR | 930 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Missouri | MDNR | 00930 | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Oklahoma | ODEQ | 9978 | | 8/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| | | | | | |



Client: RJN Group

Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Report Date: 21-Jul-17 D. Unet

| Matrix: AQUEOUS | | | | Collection Date: 07/17/2017 10:53 | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|------|-----------------------------------|-----------|----|------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| Analyses | Certification | RL | Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | ED. 9222 D MEMBR | ANE FILTER | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 10 | | 720 | CFU/100ml | 10 | 07/17/2017 13:53 | R235315 | | | |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 6 | | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 07/19/2017 11:40 | R235399 | | | |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | | 0.80 | mg/L | 1 | 07/21/2017 0:00 | R235478 | | | |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.050 | | 0.105 | mg/L | 1 | 07/18/2017 11:31 | 132257 | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 6 | | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 07/18/2017 12:53 | R235331 | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500 | -CL E (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 25 | | 89 | mg/L | 5 | 07/17/2017 17:23 | R235314 | | | |



Client: RJN Group

Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

| Work Order: 17070879 |
|------------------------------|
| Report Date: 21-Jul-17 |
| Client Sample ID: Downstream |

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 Lab ID: 17070879-002

Collection Date: 07/17/2017 11:29

| Matrix: AQUEOUS | | | Collection Date: 07/17/2017 11:29 | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----|------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Analyses | Certification | RL Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | ED. 9222 D MEMBR | NE FILTER | | | | | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 100 | 9700 | CFU/100ml | 100 | 07/17/2017 13:56 | R235315 | | | | |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 6 | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 07/19/2017 11:40 | R235399 | | | | |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2 | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | 2.10 | mg/L | 1 | 07/21/2017 0:00 | R235478 | | | | |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.500 | 0.630 | mg/L | 1 | 07/19/2017 9:43 | 132296 | | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 6 | 83 | mg/L | 1 | 07/18/2017 12:53 | R235331 | | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500 | -CL E (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 10 | 19 | mg/L | 2 | 07/17/2017 17:26 | R235314 | | | | |



Receiving Check List

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069

Work Order: 17070879 Report Date: 21-Jul-17

| Carrier: Employee | Rece | eived By: AM | ID | |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Completed by: On: 17-Jul-17 Kalyn Foecke | | viewed by: On: -Jul-17 | Elizabeth A. Hurley | leg |
| Pages to follow: Chain of custody 1 | Extra pages include | ed 0 |] | |
| Shipping container/cooler in good condition? | Yes 🗸 | No 🗌 | Not Present | Temp °C 4.22 |
| Type of thermal preservation? | None | Ice 🗸 | Blue Ice | Dry Ice |
| Chain of custody present? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? | Yes | No 🗹 | | |
| Samples in proper container/bottle? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| Sample containers intact? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| All samples received within holding time? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| Reported field parameters measured: | Field | Lab 🗌 | NA 🗹 | |
| Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗌 | | |
| When thermal preservation is required, samples are complia 0.1°C - 6.0°C, or when samples are received on ice the sam | | e between | | |
| Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? | Yes | No | No VOA vials 🗸 | |
| Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? | Yes | No 🗌 | No TOX containers | |
| Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? | Yes 🖌 | No | NA 🗌 | |
| NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field? | Yes | No 🗌 | NA 🗹 | |
| Any No responses | must be detailed be | low or on the | e COC. | |

The sample containers were labeled with varying collection times (within minutes of one another). Jennifer Gerwitz was notified of this error via work order summary. AMD/KF 7/17/17

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|----------------------------|-----------------|---------|---|--|
| Work order # <u> </u> | | | | | cilcil a | | | | ED | | | | | | | | | | | ime | 2 9 | 0121 | | |
| Work order # x: (618) 344-10 | <u>د</u> د | FOR LAB USE ONLY | | : ۲ ۱۹۰۹ - ۲ | HWW. ON | | レイ | | INDICATE ANALYSIS REQUESTED | | | | | | | - | | | | Date/Time | | 1 1/1 1 | BottleOrder: 38219 | |
| of | ICE 🔳 NO ICE | | | | NAVE CHADNE HAM. COM | | Painfall 0.25 in 7/16/17 | | INDICATE ANAL | | Pho | TSS I Nitrog osphoru nd Grea | s | × × × × | × × × × | | | | | | , | | | |
| (ODY pg. 34 - Phone: (61 | Samples on: 🗾 ICE 🔳 Bl | id intille LAB 📓 FII | Lab Notes: | | 3 | client comments | Rainfall | | RIX | | | l Colifc | rm | ×× | × | | | | | Received By | 1.01 01 10.1 | | terms and conditions o nditions. | |
| OF CUSTODY wille, IL 62234 - PI | Samples | Preserve | Lab Note | | | _ | No | | MATRIX | | 1 | ueou | S | × | × | | | | | | and | | d understands the | |
| H O | | | | (314) 588-9764 | | | ill apply 🛛 Yes 🕅 | If yes, please provide | Sample Collector's Name | | # and Type of Containers | H2S | _ | 2 2 | 2 2 | | | | | Date/Time / / フ / ク / タ | 12 12:18 | | : that he/she has read an . See www.teklabinc.com | |
| lorseshoe Lake | | | | Phone. | Fax: | | ? If yes, a surcharge w es 🕅 No | the requested analysis | Sample C | | Billing Instructions | | Date/Time Sampled | 711711710:520 | 711 | | | | | דוים | 1/1/T 07//2/ | 1 1 | ie client, acknowledges on behalf of the client | |
| C TEKLAB, INC. 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | RJN Group | 2000 South 8th St. | St. Louis, MO 63104 | Gerwitz | jgerwitz@rjnmail.com | | to be involved in litigation? If) to be hazardous? Yes | orting limits to be met on i on. 🗌 Yes 🕅 No | Project Name/Number | | | 1-2 Day (100% Surcharge) | Sample Identification D | | eam 21 | | | | | Kelinquished By | icle | D | igreement on behalf of th thas the authority to sign | |
| TEKL | Client: | Address: | te / Zip | Contact: Jennifer Gerwitz | | | Are these samples known to be involved in litigation? If yes, a surcharge will apply Are these samples known to be hazardous? \Box Yes X No | Are there any required reporting limits to be met on the requested analysis?. If yes, please provide limits in the comment section. \Box Yes R No | Project Na | NPDES / 15-3069 | S | Standard 1-2 Day (1 Other 3 Day | Lab Use Only Samp | いいらろ- Upstream | Downstream | | | | | Vev 10 | Kan' 1/ | ρρ | The individual signing this agreement on behalf of the client, acknowledges that he/she has read and understands the terms and conditions of this agreement, and that he/she has the terms and conditions of this agreement, and that he/she has the authority to sign on behalf of the client. See www.teklabinc.com for terms and conditions. | |



October 17, 2017

Jennifer Gerwitz RJN Group 2000 South 8th St. St. Louis, MO 63104 TEL: (314) 588-9764 FAX:



http://www.teklabinc.com/

RE: NPDES/15-3069 SCC

WorkOrder: 17100645

Dear Jennifer Gerwitz:

TEKLAB, INC received 2 samples on 10/11/2017 10:00:00 AM for the analysis presented in the following report.

Samples are analyzed on an as received basis unless otherwise requested and documented. The sample results contained in this report relate only to the requested analytes of interest as directed on the chain of custody. NELAP accredited fields of testing are indicated by the letters NELAP under the Certification column. Unless otherwise documented within this report, Teklab Inc. analyzes samples utilizing the most current methods in compliance with 40CFR. All tests are performed in the Collinsville, IL laboratory unless otherwise noted in the Case Narrative.

All quality control criteria applicable to the test methods employed for this project have been satisfactorily met and are in accordance with NELAP except where noted. The following report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of Teklab, Inc.

If you have any questions regarding these tests results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Marin J. Darling I

Marvin L. Darling Project Manager (618)344-1004 ex 41 mdarling@teklabinc.com



Report Contents

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC

Work Order: 17100645 Report Date: 17-Oct-17

This reporting package includes the following:

| Cover Letter | 1 |
|----------------------|----------|
| Report Contents | 2 |
| Definitions | 3 |
| Case Narrative | 4 |
| Accreditations | 5 |
| Laboratory Results | 6 |
| Receiving Check List | 8 |
| Chain of Custody | Appended |



Definitions

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC

Work Order: 17100645

Report Date: 17-Oct-17

Abbr Definition

- CCV Continuing calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument between recalibration.
 - DF Dilution factor is the dilution performed during analysis only and does not take into account any dilutions made during sample preparation. The reported result is final and includes all dilutions factors.
- DNI Did not ignite
- DUP Laboratory duplicate is an aliquot of a sample taken from the same container under laboratory conditions for independent processing and analysis independently of the original aliquot.
- ICV Initial calibration verification is a check of a standard to determine the state of calibration of an instrument before sample analysis is initiated.
- IDPH IL Dept. of Public Health
- LCS Laboratory control sample, spiked with verified known amounts of analytes, is analyzed exactly like a sample to establish intra-laboratory or analyst specific precision and bias or to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. The acceptable recovery range is in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- LCSD Laboratory control sample duplicate is a replicate laboratory control sample that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MBLK Method blank is a sample of a matrix similar to the batch of associated sample (when available) that is free from the analytes of interest and is processed simultaneously with and under the same conditions as samples through all steps of the analytical procedures, and in which no target analytes or interferences should present at concentrations that impact the analytical results for sample analyses.
- MDL Method detection limit means the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero.
- MS Matrix spike is an aliquot of matrix fortified (spiked) with known quantities of specific analytes that is subjected to the entire analytical procedures in order to determine the effect of the matrix on an approved test method's recovery system. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MSD Matrix spike duplicate means a replicate matrix spike that is prepared and analyzed in order to determine the precision of the approved test method. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
- MW Molecular weight
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- NELAP NELAP Accredited
 - PQL Practical quantitation limit means the lowest level that can be reliably achieved within specified limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operation conditions. The acceptable recovery range is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - RL The reporting limit the lowest level that the data is displayed in the final report. The reporting limit may vary according to customer request or sample dilution. The reporting limit may not be less than the MDL.
 - RPD Relative percent difference is a calculated difference between two recoveries (ie. MS/MSD). The acceptable recovery limit is listed in the QC Package (provided upon request).
 - SPK The spike is a known mass of target analyte added to a blank sample or sub-sample; used to determine recovery deficiency or for other quality control purposes.
 - Surr Surrogates are compounds which are similar to the analytes of interest in chemical composition and behavior in the analytical process, but which are not normally found in environmental samples.
 - TIC Tentatively identified compound: Analytes tentatively identified in the sample by using a library search. Only results not in the calibration standard will be reported as tentatively identified compounds. Results for tentatively identified compounds that are not present in the calibration standard, but are assigned a specific chemical name based upon the library search, are calculated using total peak areas from reconstructed ion chromatograms and a response factor of one. The nearest Internal Standard is used for the calculation. The results of any TICs must be considered estimated, and are flagged with a "T". If the estimated result is above the calibration range it is flagged "ET"
- TNTC Too numerous to count (> 200 CFU)

Qualifiers

- # Unknown hydrocarbon
- E Value above quantitation range
- I Associated internal standard was outside method criteria
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike Recovery outside recovery limits
- X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

- B Analyte detected in associated Method Blank
- H Holding times exceeded
- M Manual Integration used to determine area response
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- T TIC(Tentatively identified compound)



Case Narrative

http://www.teklabinc.com/

 Work Order:
 17100645

 Report Date:
 17-Oct-17

Client: RJN Group Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC

Cooler Receipt Temp: 13.62 °C

| | | | Locations | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Collinsville | | Springfield | Kansas City | | | |
| Address | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | Address | 3920 Pintail Dr | Address | 8421 Nieman Road | | |
| | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 | | Springfield, IL 62711-9415 | | Lenexa, KS 66214 | | |
| Phone | (618) 344-1004 | Phone | (217) 698-1004 | Phone | (913) 541-1998 | | |
| Fax | (618) 344-1005 | Fax | (217) 698-1005 | Fax | (913) 541-1998 | | |
| Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | Email | KKlostermann@teklabinc.com | Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | | |
| | Collinsville Air | | Chicago | | | | |
| Address | 5445 Horseshoe Lake Road | Address | 1319 Butterfield Rd. | | | | |
| | Collinsville, IL 62234-7425 | | Downers Grove, IL 60515 | | | | |
| Phone | (618) 344-1004 | Phone | (630) 324-6855 | | | | |
| Fax | (618) 344-1005 | Fax | | | | | |
| Email | EHurley@teklabinc.com | Email | jhriley@teklabinc.com | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Accreditations

http://www.teklabinc.com/

 Work Order:
 17100645

 Report Date:
 17-Oct-17

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC

| State | Dept | Cert # | NELAP | Exp Date | Lab |
|-----------|------|-----------------|-------|------------|--------------|
| Illinois | IEPA | 100226 | NELAP | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Kansas | KDHE | E-10374 | NELAP | 4/30/2018 | Collinsville |
| Louisiana | LDEQ | 166493 | NELAP | 6/30/2018 | Collinsville |
| Louisiana | LDEQ | 166578 | NELAP | 6/30/2018 | Collinsville |
| Texas | TCEQ | T104704515-12-1 | NELAP | 7/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Arkansas | ADEQ | 88-0966 | | 3/14/2018 | Collinsville |
| Illinois | IDPH | 17584 | | 5/31/2019 | Collinsville |
| Indiana | ISDH | C-IL-06 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Kentucky | KDEP | 98006 | | 12/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Kentucky | UST | 0073 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Louisiana | LDPH | LA170027 | | 12/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Missouri | MDNR | 930 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Missouri | MDNR | 00930 | | 5/31/2017 | Collinsville |
| Oklahoma | ODEQ | 9978 | | 8/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| Tennessee | TDEC | 04905 | | 1/31/2018 | Collinsville |
| | | | | | |



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 17100645 Report Date: 17-Oct-17

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC Lab ID: 17100645-001

Client: RJN Group

Client Sample ID: Upstream

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Collection Date: 10/11/2017 9:05

| Analyses | Certification | RL Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|---------|--------|-----------|----|------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | STANDARD METHODS 18TH ED. 9222 D MEMBRANE FILTER | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 10 | 270 | CFU/100ml | 10 | 10/11/2017 11:02 | R238770 | | | | | |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 5 | 8 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 16:34 | R238831 | | | | | |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R | 2.0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | 1.10 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 0:00 | R238780 | | | | | |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.050 | 0.119 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 12:00 | 135074 | | | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 6 | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 18:11 | R238782 | | | | | |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500 | -CL E (TOTAL) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 10 | 56 | mg/L | 2 | 10/11/2017 17:03 | R238757 | | | | | |



Laboratory Results

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Work Order: 17100645 Report Date: 17-Oct-17

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC Lab ID: 17100645-002

Client: RJN Group

Client Sample ID: Downstream

| Matrix: AQUEOUS | | | Collectio | n Date: 10/2 | 1/2017 | 9:32 | |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|--------|------------------|---------|
| Analyses | Certification | RL Qual | Result | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
| STANDARD METHODS 18TH | ED. 9222 D MEMBR | ANE FILTER | | | | | |
| Fecal Coliform | | 100 | 7800 | CFU/100ml | 100 | 10/11/2017 11:03 | R238770 |
| EPA 1664A | | | | | | | |
| Hexane Extractable Material | NELAP | 6 | < 6 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 16:34 | R238831 |
| EPA 600 351.2 R2.0, 353.2 R2 | 2.0 | | | | | | |
| Nitrogen, Total | | 0.05 | 3.24 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 0:00 | R238780 |
| EPA 600 365.4 (TOTAL) | | | | | | | |
| Phosphorus, Total (as P) | NELAP | 0.250 | 0.925 | mg/L | 1 | 10/12/2017 12:10 | 135074 |
| STANDARD METHODS 2540 | D | | | | | | |
| Total Suspended Solids | NELAP | 60 | 100 | mg/L | 10 | 10/13/2017 11:07 | R238868 |
| STANDARD METHODS 4500- | CL E (TOTAL) | | | | | | |
| Chloride | NELAP | 5 | 22 | mg/L | 1 | 10/11/2017 17:11 | R238757 |



Receiving Check List

http://www.teklabinc.com/

Client: RJN Group

Client Project: NPDES/15-3069 SCC

 Work Order:
 17100645

 Report Date:
 17-Oct-17

| Carrier: Employee | Received By: k | ٢F | |
|---|---|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Completed by: Kahyn Foecke On: 11-Oct-17 Kalyn Foecke | Reviewed by: On: 11-Oct-17 | Elizabeth A. Hurley | log |
| Pages to follow: Chain of custody 1 Shipping container/cooler in good condition? Type of thermal preservation? Chain of custody present? | Extra pages included 0 Yes V No None Ice V Yes No | Blue Ice | Temp °C 13.62 Dry Ice |
| Chain of custody signed when relinquished and received? Chain of custody agrees with sample labels? Samples in proper container/bottle? Sample containers intact? | Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No | | |
| Sufficient sample volume for indicated test? All samples received within holding time? Reported field parameters measured: Container/Temp Blank temperature in compliance? | Yes ♥ No Yes ♥ No Field Lab Yes ♥ No |] NA 🗹 | |
| When thermal preservation is required, samples are complian 0.1° C - 6.0° C, or when samples are received on ice the same | | | |
| Water – at least one vial per sample has zero headspace? | Yes No | No VOA vials 🗸 | |
| Water - TOX containers have zero headspace? | Yes No | No TOX containers | |
| Water - pH acceptable upon receipt? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | | |
| NPDES/CWA TCN interferences checked/treated in the field? | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | NA 🗹 | |
| Any No responses n | nust be detailed below or on | the COC. | |

| s: 200 South Bh St. tate / Zip St. Lons, MO 65104 tate / Zip St. Labore: (314) 588-8754 Tate / Zip St. Lonsents tate / Zip St. Lonsents | Client: | RJN Group | | | | Samples on: A ICE | | BLUE ICE | NO ICE | 13.00°C | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|------------------------|--|
| Lab Lab Lab Lab Lab Lab Lab Lab Lab <t< th=""><th>Address:</th><th>2000 South 8th St.</th><th>1</th><th></th><th></th><th>Preserved in: 🔳 L</th><th></th><th></th><th>ř.</th><th>IR LAB USE ONLY</th><th></th></t<> | Address: | 2000 South 8th St. | 1 | | | Preserved in: 🔳 L | | | ř. | IR LAB USE ONLY | |
| Client Comments Markin Markin <t< td=""><td>y / State / ž</td><td>-</td><td>4</td><td></td><td></td><td>.ab Notes:</td><td></td><td>111</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | y / State / ž | - | 4 | | | .ab Notes: | | 111 | | | |
| Client Comments Material Material Material Material Material Client Comments Indicate | • | nnifer Gerwitz | Pho | | | | 10. | | | | |
| NUMBER Numer Numer Numer <td></td> <td>erwitz@rjnmail.com</td> <td>Fax:</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Slient Comments</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | erwitz@rjnmail.com | Fax: | | | Slient Comments | | | | | |
| TSS × | ese samples kr ese samples kr | nown to be involved in litig; 10wn to be hazardous? [| ation? If yes, a surchar,] Yes X No | je will apply | °N X N° | 2 | infall 0 | 87 in | | | |
| Troject Name/Number Sample Collector's Name MATRX MATRX 35 3CC 35 3CC Illing Instructions # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Illing Instructions # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Illing Instructions # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Illing Instructions # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Illing Instructions # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Instructions # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Instruction # and Type of Containers 1:1209/1005 scretage Instructiners # and | ere any require in the comment | id reporting limits to be me t section. □ Yes X ∧ | t on the requested anal vo | ysis?. If yes, please pr | rovide | | | | | | |
| 00 5CC 11111 12 3 0y (60% surfaces) 111111 111111 111111 111111 11111111 1111111 111111 | Projec | t Name/Number | Samp | le Collector's Nar | me | MATRIX | | INDIC | ATE ANA | LYSIS REQUESTED | |
| Initial Requested Billing Instructions # and Type of Continues Initial Requested 3 Day (60% Surcharge) Billing Instructions Initial Requested 3 Day (60% Surcharge) Sample identification Date Transmission And Type of Continues X X Vipstream X X X | S/15-3069 SC | 3 | | | | | L | | | | |
| ¹ 3 Day (66% Surpresson) ¹ 3 Day (71 / 1/1) ¹ 3 T X X X ¹ X X ¹ X X X ¹ X X | ٦ <u>ڳ</u> | | Silling Instruction | # | | | Feca | Pho | | | |
| Sample Identification Data frime Sample P I X | | 3 Day (50% Surcharge) | | | | | Colifo | sphoru | | | |
| Upstream N M N X | <u> </u> | Sample Identification | Date/Time Samplec | P T | 3 | | | IS | | | |
| Downstream $\sqrt{3}/1/1/\sqrt{3}$ $2/2$ $2/2$ $1/2$ $X \times X \times X \times X \times X \times X$ Image: Second Se | | ĴĴ | 111 | 2 | × | | × | × | _ | | |
| Relinquished By Iohyliz (U H) Received By Iohyliz (U H) | 11 - 11 - 14 11 - 14 - 14 |)()(| 11 | 2 | × | | × | × | | | |
| Relinquished By I I I I I I YAITXALA I I I I I I I | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished By Го/п/12 Го/п/12 Го/п/11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished By I I I I I I Relinquished By I I I I I I YAT TANA I I I I I I | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Relinquished By Date/Time Received By VAJ TANA $io/u/12$ $OAAY$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished By Date/Time Received By VALA /ク/1/12 (2 /0 Ary) PCCC (4 - 10) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Relinquished By Bate/Time By Received By LUIII/I | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VAITANA 10/11/12/0/04/4 PROCEED 10/11/17 | | elinauished Bv | | Date/Time | | | | | | | |
| | | ATTANA | 10/01 | Q | | Plack | Alved By | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |